/MM •



## UNITED STEES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO

29020 | 770 35H

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR **FILING DATE** APPLICATION NO. 97-035A R **KERN** 09/394,027 09/10/99 **EXAMINER** PM82/1215 REDMAN.J RITE-HITE HOLDING CORPORATION PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 8900 NORTH ARBON DRIVE P 0 BOX 23043 3634 MILWAUKEE WI 53223-0043 DATE MAILED: 12/15/00

MARSHALL O'TOOLE

Docketed: .

3/15/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	Application No. Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	09/394,027	KERN ET	۹۲.
	Examiner	Group Art Unit  MAN 3634	
·	1 KKI KO	107-1	1
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—			
Period for Response	7		_
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a</li> <li>If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defau</li> <li>Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by</li> </ul>	response within the statutor	y minimum of thirty (30) days will b from the mailing date of this comm	e considered timely. unication .
Status	1.1.		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 92700 A	<u> 0012101 BU</u>		
This action is FINAL.			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	or formal matters, <b>prose</b> C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	ecution as to the merits is c	losea in
Disposition of Claims		•	
© Claim(s) 1-37		is/are pending in the a	pplication.
	is/are withdrawn from	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
M Claim(s) 10-15, 21-28, AND 37	is/are allowed.	_ is/are allowed.	
MClaim(s) 1-9,16,17,19, ZD, Z9, AND 36	is/are rejected.		
₩ Claim(s) 18, AND 30-35		is/are objected to.	•
☐ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction requirement.	on or election
Application Papers		10quilottiont.	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		$\square$ disapproved.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ed to by the Examiner.	Ĭ.	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			į
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		<u>.</u>	e de la companya de
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			1
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of t</li> <li>□ received.</li> </ul>	he priority documents ha	ave been	
<ul> <li>received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number</li> <li>received in this national stage application from the Interest</li> </ul>	mational Bureau (PCT F	Rule 1 7.2(a)).	
*Certified copies not received:		· ·	
Attachment(s)	71-		
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	o(s). L° > □ I	nterview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892		Notice of Informal Patent App	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	8 🗆 🤆	Other	
Office Action Summary			
	<del></del>	•	

Page 2

Serial Number: 09/394,027 .

Art Unit: 3634

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9, 16, 17, 19, 20, 29, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clark in view of Suter. Clark discloses a plurality of doors (C and D) comprising a resilient core (11, insulation), a flexible covering (9 and 10), sealing elements (18 and 19), and an actuation system (52) to move the door laterally and downwardly (a sloped track) such that upon impact the door is able to transmit a compressive load equal to the weight of the resilient core (insulation). Clark fails to disclose a panel having a core foam and an outer covering being a flexible fabric material. Suter discloses a panel having an interior with a core foam and an exterior having a flexible fabric material. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Clark with a panel having a core foam and an exterior having a flexible fabric material as taught by Suter since this allows the panel to be more resilient and more resistant to impact. Clark also fails to disclose a door panel with enough strength to withstand a compressive load equal to the weight of the resilient core and the flexible covering. It would have been obvious design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the panel of Clark to withstand a compressive force equal to its weight since one skilled in the art would provide a rigid closure to withstand a wide range of compressive forces on a panel which closes a busy doorway.

Page 3

Serial Number: 09/394,027

Art Unit: 3634

Claims 18, and 30-35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 10-15, 21-28, and 37 are allowable.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-37 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerry Redman at telephone number (703) 308-2168.

Primary Examiner